

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,746	02/21/2006	Hiroshi Taki	427972000700	9542
3327 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 1630 TYSONS BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			CHEN, VIVIAN	
SUITE 400 MCLEAN, VA	x 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , ,			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,746 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ADVISORY ACTION

Response to Proposed Amendments

 The proposed amendments will <u>NOT</u> be entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The newly added limitations with respect to coating layers with a coating containing the specified ratio of components (A) and (B) were not previously presented or claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- Claims 1-2 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over: OKAJIMA ET AL (US 5,932,320).
- Claims 5, 7 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over: OKAJIMA ET AL (US 5,932,320), as applied in claim 1, and further in view of MIZUNO (US 5,472,589) or MIZUNO (US 5,413,840).
- 3. Claims 3-4, 6, 8-12 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over:
 - (a) OKAJIMA ET AL (US 5,932,320), as applied in claim 1; or
- (b) OKAJIMA ET AL (US 5,932,320), in view of MIZUNO (US 5,472,589) or MIZUNO (US 5,413,840), as applied in claim 5;

and further in view of GEORGE ET AL (US 5,369,211).

Application/Control Number: 10/568,746 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/28/2008 with respect to the proportions of components (A) to (B) have been considered but are moot because the proposed amendments filed 2/28/2008 have NOT been entered.

 Applicant's arguments filed 2/28/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

(A) Applicant argues that OKAJIMA ET AL fails to teach or suggest the claimed invention because the films in OKAJIMA ET AL have "a high hiding power" and "low transparency". In response to applicant's argument that OKAJIMA ET AL fails to disclose films for optical applications, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. Opacity or limited transparency does not inherently render a film unsuitable for "optical applications", since in certain optical applications or devices, opacity or controlled light transmission may be a desirable or even a necessary characteristic. Furthermore, OKAJIMA ET AL explicitly states that the disclosed film, while capable of high opacity and hiding characteristics, is also suitable for use as a clear film (e.g., a clear protective layer for a decorated substrate, etc.) (OKAJIMA ET AL, line 23-35, col. 5; line 25-30, col. 7). Therefore, contrary to Applicant's contentions, OKAJIMA ET AL's teachings are not solely directed to high opacity films (and thereby teaching away from transparent films), but

Art Unit: 1794

also explicitly discloses and teaches a variant which is clear (e.g., transparent). In view of the

reference's statements regarding suitability in clear film applications, one of ordinary skill in the

art would reasonably believe that the film of OKAJIMA ET AL is capable of relatively high

levels of clarity and transparency if desired (e.g., in order to not obscure a desirable or attractive

underlying design or pattern, etc.).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Vivian Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1506. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6 PM. The examiner

can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

The General Information telephone number for Technology Center 1700 is (571) 272-

1700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 10, 2008

/Vivian Chen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794